Move or die: change in European catfish (Silurus glanis L.) behaviour caused by oxygen deficiency
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ABSTRACT

European catfish (Silurus glanis L.) is a large predatory fish native to an extensive area in Europe. It has been introduced to its western and southern parts, where it is considered an invasive species with a negative impact on native fishes. European catfish is a territorial species exhibiting site fidelity. Cold water in winter usually leads catfish to exhibit notably low activity. Nevertheless, our telemetric study on 19 juvenile individuals of S. glanis provides information on their unexpected high activity and displacement in winter. Our data reveal that these behavioural changes were caused by oxygen deficiency. Our data also indicate that oxygen deficits may induce migrations of this species.

INTRODUCTION

In fish, like in other poikilothermic organisms, the metabolic rate correlates with water temperature; the activity of fish in the temperate zone therefore usually decreases in winter (Ultsch, 1989). This low physiological activity may result in higher predation by homoeothermic predators such as birds or mammals (Stewart et al., 2005; Dekar et al., 2010). However, there is a more serious threat affecting the fish stock during winter in some localities; namely, oxygen...
deficiency. This is common in shallow eutrophic lakes with prolonged ice and snow cover, which greatly reduces oxygen absorption and generation. Insufficient water inflow and accumulation of decomposing organic matter, e.g., leaf litter from trees, are also factors that contribute to reducing dissolved oxygen (hereafter DO) in the water column (Fast, 1994). The most serious manifestations of oxygen deficiency can occasionally cause fish mortality events known as winterkills (Ellis and Stefan, 1989; Danylchuk and Tonn, 2006). Changes in fish behaviour may also occur, such as changes in activity; however, these changes are difficult to detect without appropriate techniques such as telemetry (Bauer and Schlott, 2006). Protective measures are commonly taken to prevent oxygen deficiency, including snow removal, cutting holes in ice and artificial aeration (Fast, 1994). However, the evaluation of such actions in natural habitats may be complicated without parallel monitoring of DO levels and because some of these actions in some cases may only increase the local chemical consumption of oxygen (e.g., by detritus decomposition), while DO in the water column does not increase significantly (Ellis and Stefan, 1989).

The European catfish (Silurus glanis L.) is a common inhabitant of rivers, old oxbows and shallow alluvial lakes in the Eurasian temperate zone. Although S. glanis is native to mainland Europe, reaching the Rhine River in the west, it has also been introduced into a number of countries in southern and western Europe, and its possible predation and competitive impact on native species is being increasingly studied and discussed (Copp et al., 2009; Bevacqua et al., 2011; Martino et al., 2011). The European catfish exhibits site fidelity and utilises a stable ‘resting place’ (Carol et al., 2007). It has a physiological optimum above 25 °C (Copp et al., 2009). In the temperate zone, cold water in winter leads European catfish to exhibit notably low activity (Lelek et al., 1964; Slavík et al., 2007).

This paper presents data on a phenomenon accidentally observed during a long-term study, which was originally carried out to examine seasonal changes in the activity of European catfish. We found a conspicuous increase in the movement and relocation of European catfish in winter and tested our hypothesis that these behavioural changes were induced by oxygen deficiency. We further discuss the inefficiency of winterkill protection management at the locality. Although our data are limited and represent only one season, they provide valuable new information about catfish winter behaviour.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

> Locality

The study area (Figure 1) is an old disconnected oxbow lake of the river Elbe situated in Central Bohemia (Czech Republic, GPS 50°10′48.005″N, 14°47′32.713″E). This lake was formerly part of the river Elbe but has been isolated since around 1930, when the river was channelised. The lake has one small inflow and no outflow. From November to March ice and snow may occur. The extent of the ice cover is directly dependent on weather conditions. During ice cover periods, ice holes approximately 5 × 10 m in size are cut by members of the local anglers’ organisation. Holes are cut irregularly about twice a month. The lake represents a highly valuable natural habitat that is part of the “Hrbáčkovy tůně” natural reserve and also part of the European Ecological Network Natura 2000. The maximum depth of the lake reaches 2.3 m. The field work was authorised by the Regional Office of the Central Bohemia Region, Department of Environment and Agriculture (permit No. 114123/2010/KUSK-3).

>Fish Tagging

A total of 19 juvenile European catfish in the weight and size ranges of 203–285 g (standard length 340–388 mm) were caught at the study site by electrofishing (650 V, 4 A, pulsed D.C.) between 7th October and 9th October 2010. The fish were temporarily kept in cages
immersed in the lake. On 10th October 2010 they were equipped with radio transmitters MST-930, 4 g in air, 9.5 × 26 mm, 24-cm external whip antenna (Lotek Engineering Inc., Newmarket, Ontario, Canada). The radio transmitters were surgically implanted into the peritoneal cavity through a lateral incision situated circa 1 cm above the pelvic fin. The whip antenna was arranged to exit the body through the incision which was closed by two separate stitches using sterile, braided, absorbable suture (Ethicon Coated Vicryl W9113, Johnson & Johnson, St. Stevens Woluwe, Belgium). The mass of the transmitter never exceeded 2% of the fish body mass in air (Winter, 1996). In order to prolong the functioning of the transmitters, which were quite small and had a low battery capacity, they were programmed to only emit a signal one day per week (ON for 1 day/ OFF for 6 days). The programming extended the calculated battery life to 438 days (the original battery life of unprogrammed transmitters is 117 days). After 1st February, however, the transmitters started to work erratically with unpredictable on and off periods because of an error in the programming caused by the manufacturer. The surgery was performed under 2-phenoxy-ethanol (0.2 ml·L⁻¹) anaesthesia. The Animal Use Protocol (No. 6/2010) issued by the Czech University of Life Sciences in Prague was approved by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic (permit No. 22103/2010-30).

**FISH TRACKING**

The catfish were released on 10th October 2010. The first three weeks of their activity were not analysed due to the possible impact of the implantation on the fish’s spatial and temporal activity (Bridger and Booth, 2003). The tracking therefore started on 2nd November. The last data analysed were from 1st February. The fish were tracked on a weekly basis, always for a 24-h period. Fish positions during the 24-h cycle were determined by triangulation at eight subsequent three-hour intervals. A radio receiver SRX 400A/W5XS and a three-element Yagi antenna F 140-3FB (Lotek Engineering Inc., Newmarket, Ontario, Canada) were used for tracking. The accuracy of the fish position determination was estimated to be ±1 m according to a calibration procedure repeatedly performed with a tag located on the lake bed (n = 100; mean = 0.74 m; min = 0 m; max = 3.2 m).
Figure 2
Map of resting places of juvenile European catfish (Silurus glanis) in Byšická oxbow lake. A – Situation in the period from 2nd November to 14th December 2010 (before oxygen deficiency) B – Situation in the period from 28th December 2010 to 1st February 2011 (after oxygen deficiency). Within the periods, the change in resting places (relocations) was minimal. All the fish that successfully survived winter were assembled in two small areas with oxygen levels no lower than 2.2 mg L\(^{-1}\). Two individuals which did not move to these two sites died. The southern part of the oxbow is not depicted because it is shallow, muddy and not inhabited by fish. C – Spatial distribution of DO values on the day when DO minimum values were detected (21st December 2010). D – Spatial distribution of temperatures on the day when DO minimum values were detected (21st December 2010).

> ABIOTIC VARIABLES

Dissolved oxygen (DO) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) and water temperature (°C) were measured during each tracking day at dawn. Prior to the study, the spatial variability of DO in the lake was repetitively investigated using ten randomly chosen points (three investigations in three different weeks). Because the spatial variability was low and differences in DO at the points were no greater than 0.2 mg L\(^{-1}\), we decided to use one stable reference point, which was situated in the central part of the lake (see Figure 2), two metres from the bank. As the lake is very shallow, we measured temperature and DO at a single point of the water column. European catfish is a benthic fish, so the values were measured approximately 10 cm above the bottom using the multimeters Multi 3420 WTW (WTW Wissenschaftlich-Technische Werkstätten GmbH, Weilheim, Germany) and Gryf 464 (GRYF HB, spol. s r.o., Havlickuv Brod, Czech Republic). After the unexpected increase in activity and after the relocation of fish, which was detected during tracking on 21st December 2010, the change in DO level was assumed to be a possible cause. From 21st December 2010, DO levels were also recorded: (1) at the two places where the fish moved to; and (2) near three holes in the ice, which were cut by members of a local anglers’ organisation irregularly circa twice a month using a chainsaw (see Figure 2). During the DO deficiency period (21st December 2010), the low spatial variability of DO at other places
was once more proved using ten randomly chosen points (see Figure 2C). The presence of ice cover and snow was also monitored.

> **SURVIVAL DETERMINATION**

A fish was pronounced dead when either its carcass was found or when its transmitter was found on the bank. A fish was considered alive until the last measurable position shift of the individual.

> **DATA ANALYSES**

Fish positions were plotted on a map using Quantum GIS (ver. 1.6.0. “Copiapo”); azimuths were plotted with the plugin “Tarsius” (www.tarsiusproject.org/download). “Resting place” was defined as a restricted area of $2 \times 2$ m at maximum where fish spend daytime (at least three subsequent three-hour intervals, of which at least two were during daytime). “Movement” was defined as the sum total of the distance (m) each individual moved during the 24-h monitoring period. “Relocation” was defined as the distance (m) between the centre of each resting place of each individual between two successive weeks. “Home range” (HR) was determined as a MCP (minimum convex polygon) of the fish position during a 24-h period using the Home Range Analysis plugin for Quantum GIS. The boundary value for DO conditions was used according to Massabauau and Forgue (1995), who state that European catfish can tolerate DO values higher than 1.5 mg·L$^{-1}$ in the long term, values lower than 1.5 mg·L$^{-1}$ being critical. We used this criterion to distinguish between sufficient (higher than 1.5 mg·L$^{-1}$) and deficient (lower than 1.5 mg·L$^{-1}$) DO conditions throughout the study period. Values of individual fish relocation, movement and HR were matched with the DO value at the reference point, except the situation when an individual reached a DO refuge (10 m at maximum from the centre of the refuge). DO refuges were defined as two places where the fish moved to on/after 21st December 2010 (see Figure 2B). Levels of DO at these refuges were obviously different from the common conditions represented by the reference point (Figure 2C, Figure 3). If an individual reached a DO refuge area, its relocation, movement and HR value were matched with the DO value measured in the centre of the refuge area.

> **STATISTICAL ANALYSES**

The statistical analyses were performed using the SAS software package (SAS Institute Inc., version 9.2, www.sas.com). The data were log$_{10}$-transformed to meet normality and/or homoscedasticity requirements when needed.

The influence of DO conditions on catfish relocation and movement was analysed using a linear mixed model (LMM) with random factors (PROC MIXED). The random factors were used to account for repeated measurements collected for the same experimental units (individual fish) across the duration of the experiment. The significance of the explanatory variable “DO level” (i.e., a fixed effect) in the particular model was assessed using an F-test. Least-squares means (LSM), henceforth referred to as “adjusted means”, were computed for each significant class explanatory variable. Differences between the classes were tested with a t-test and a Tukey-Kramer adjustment for multiple comparisons. The degrees of freedom were calculated using the Kenward-Roger method (Kenward and Roger, 1997).

**RESULTS**

> **FISH TRACKING**

In the period between 2nd November and 14th December 2010, fish had generally stable resting places (Figure 2A) and stable HR of 9 m$^2$ maximum (mean 0.2 m$^2$, S.D. 0.9 m$^2$). Within
Figure 3
Average relocation of European catfish between two successive weeks and values of water temperature and dissolved oxygen in the period from 2nd November 2010 to 1st February 2011. Value of the relocation is presented as the mean with a confidence interval of all specimens on each day.
24-h cycles, the movement of fish was usually minimal with a maximum of 18 m·day\(^{-1}\) (mean 0.74 m·day\(^{-1}\), S.D. 2.09 m·day\(^{-1}\)). On 21st December 2010, large relocation of almost all individuals was detected, and six individuals displayed considerable activity during the 24-h cycle with values of movement up to 259 m·day\(^{-1}\) (mean 35.21 m·day\(^{-1}\), S.D. 67.26). The extent of average relocation during the whole period from 2nd November 2010 to 1st February 2011 is shown in Figure 3. Finally, 17 of the fish occupied two very restricted areas (Figure 2B), and only two individuals stayed out of these areas, in places with deficient DO levels. After 4th January, all the relocations and movements ceased again. The values and variability of relocation, movement and HR among individuals are summarised in Table I.

> ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES

The lake was covered with ice from 30th November, and as early as 7th December also with an additional layer of snow at least 5 cm thick. Ice covered the lake until 1st February, with only partial thawing at the banks during periods from 11th to 18th January and 25th January to 1st February. The DO and temperature conditions in the lake are shown in Figure 3. The DO minimum occurred on 21st December 2010 with a DO concentration of 1.3 mg·L\(^{-1}\). The values at the holes cut by angler volunteers were slightly higher (the minimum DO was detected on 21st December 2010 with a DO concentration of 1.6 mg·L\(^{-1}\)). At the two places to which the fish moved, the DO concentrations were highest (the minimum DO was detected on 21st December 2010 with a DO concentration of 2.2 mg·L\(^{-1}\)). Spatial distribution of DO minimum values is shown in Figure 2C; temperatures during the same period are shown in Figure 2D.

> IMPACT OF DO DEFICIENCY ON EUROPEAN CATFISH BEHAVIOUR

The extent of relocations, movements and HR is summarised in Table I. The relocation of individuals depended on the DO concentration and was significantly higher when fish were exposed to deficient DO values under 1.5 mg·L\(^{-1}\) \((F_{1,199} = 74.37, P < 0.0001; \) Tukey-Kramer Adj. \(P < 0.0001; \) Figure 4A). Movement increased in the deficient DO conditions as well \((F_{1,214} = 26.48, P < 0.0001; \) Tukey-Kramer Adj. \(P < 0.0001; \) Figure 4B). In cases of DO deficiency, the fish left their previous resting places and HR, and simultaneously relocated to two restricted places with the most favourable DO conditions (see Figure 2B and Figure 2C). This demonstrates that the increase in the movement and relocation was motivated by searching for higher DO levels. When fish reached DO refuges, relocations and movements ceased. The catfish remained at these sites after DO levels had recovered in the whole lake.

The two individuals that stayed in the places with deficient DO levels died. The transmitter of one individual with remains of the fish carcass was picked up by a scuba diver from the lake in the spring. The transmitter (still operating) of the other fish was found on the bank, five metres inland. All the other fish continued to display movements after 1st February and were therefore assumed to have survived.

DISCUSSION

Although information concerning the behaviour of European catfish in the natural environment is highly limited, this species is assumed to have a physiological optimum of 25–27 °C, when its activity is at its peak (Copp et al., 2009). In cold water during winter time, the activity of European catfish is minimal (Slavík et al., 2007; Copp et al., 2009). However, our telemetry study revealed the possibility of short-term high activity in winter in response to sub-optimal and/or potentially lethal environmental variables.

Based on the measurements of environmental variables, we hypothesised that the initial stimulus, which initiated movement as well as relocation, could have been DO deficiency. Our results support our hypothesis and show that the temporal activity and also spatial distribution
**Table I**  
*Basic statistical characteristics for relocation (m), movement (m) and home ranges (m²) of observed individuals.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>mean (min;max)</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>mean (min;max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.8 (0; 95)</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>143.0 (143; 143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.3 (0; 83)</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48.5 (0; 97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.9 (0; 26)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4 (0; 5)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.0 (3; 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6 (0; 9)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.1 (0; 18)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>166.0 (13; 319)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>48.2 (0; 311)</td>
<td>116.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.0 (32; 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.8 (0; 11)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>214.5 (39; 390)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.2 (0; 75)</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>298.0 (298; 298)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.3 (0; 126)</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>221.0 (40; 402)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.4 (0; 4)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>420.0 (420; 420)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.6 (0; 4)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44.5 (36; 53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.8 (0; 10)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.3 (0; 2)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>416.0 (416; 416)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.3 (0; 10)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.5 (16; 49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16†</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6 (0; 21)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.0 (28; 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.6 (0; 7)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>62.5 (0; 125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.9 (0; 12)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.5 (5; 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19†</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.8 (0; 53)</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>63.0 (10; 116)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DO-sufficient – values of relocation (m), movement (m) and home range (m²) when the oxygen level was above 1.5 mg·L⁻¹.  
DO-deficient – values of relocation (m) and movement (m) when individuals were exposed to oxygen levels below 1.5 mg·L⁻¹.  
ID – identification number of individual.  
Missing values indicate no data for individuals under DO-deficient conditions (during DO-deficient conditions these fish were at DO refuges).  
Home ranges are calculated only for DO-sufficient conditions. Under DO-deficient conditions fish migrated, thus temporarily did not establish home ranges.  
†indicates individuals that died.
Figure 4
European catfish relocations (A) and movements (B) under oxygen-deficient and oxygen-sufficient conditions. Values are adjusted means ± S.E. of log10-transformed data. Oxygen deficiency was defined as the situation when fish were exposed to oxygen concentrations lower than 1.5 mg L⁻¹.

of individuals was affected by low oxygen levels. Despite the fact that relocations in our case were not long-distance, the monitored relocations were synchronised and stretched far beyond the borders of the initial HR of individuals. In a certain way, these relocations can be understood as a “refuge migration” sensu Lucas and Baras (2001). We assume that DO deficiency was caused by the combination of the accumulation of decomposing autumn leaf litter and the presence of a total and permanent ice cover with snow early in the winter. The snow layer restricts photosynthesis below the ice. This mentioned combination of factors is the typical initiator of winterkill in this type of habitat (Fast, 1994; Lucas and Baras, 2001).

Copp et al. (2009) stated that the DO concentration limit for the European catfish is 3–3.5 mg L⁻¹. However, our data prove that, at least during winter, values of DO of up to 2.4 mg L⁻¹ were endurable in the long term. Values ranging from 1.3 to 2.4 mg L⁻¹ initiated the great activity and relocation of fish to areas with favourable DO conditions. Our findings are in agreement with Massabauau and Forgue (1995), who state the ability of European catfish to tolerate DO concentrations of up to 1.5 mg L⁻¹ in the long term. Values between 1 and 1.5 mg L⁻¹ are said by these authors to be critical; nevertheless, they point out that catfish can sustain these conditions for 24 h. Fish in our study also survived and exhibited movement under conditions with DO concentrations of 1.3 mg L⁻¹.

A successive increase in aggregation associated with the relocation of the fish to areas with better DO conditions was also observed. European catfish exhibit site fidelity, and they could also be considered a territorial species, which is associated with their solitary behaviour (Carol et al., 2007; Slavík and Horký, 2009). Nevertheless, some information regarding the aggregation of adult European catfish in a very restricted area does exist. Boulêtreau et al. (2011)
observed such a phenomenon in the Rhone River, but the cause of their behaviour was unclear, and no juveniles participated. Recently, some temporary catfish aggregations connected to their predatory behaviour have also been observed (Cucherousset et al., 2012).

In our case, the tracked individuals displayed site fidelity at first. Each individual had its stable resting place, and the HR was minimal, which could have been bolstered by the low temperature (Slavík et al., 2007). Later on, a large aggregation of fish in two restricted areas took place. This behaviour was forced by DO conditions in the beginning, but the fish stayed aggregated even after the DO deficiency disappeared and DO rose up to 4.0 mg L\(^{-1}\).

A large aggregation of fish brings many additional aspects, including the formation of biogeochemical hotspots (places where nutrient release by animals exceeds the need of primary producers) (Boulêtreau et al., 2011) or facilitation of spread of disease (Arneberg et al., 1998; Ogut et al., 2005). We hypothesise that the reason why the fish stayed aggregated and why they did not move back to their previous HR is connected to low temperature, which could inhibit the activity of fish.

Preventive actions taken by members of the local anglers’ organisation, including cutting holes in the ice, may not contribute to mitigation of DO deficiency. Ice hole cutting is a common practice of fish stock protection in shallow, ice-covered water bodies (Fast, 1994). However, in our study, not a single monitored individual stayed near the holes. This is most likely related to unstable DO conditions in these places, where DO fluctuates based on ice cutting frequency. Natural tributary inflows appear to provide better DO refuge areas during ice cover periods than man-made ice holes. Although the inflow was cold, it remained without ice cover and well oxygenated. Fourteen tracked individuals stayed near the tributary inflow, and one of them even migrated through the stream connection to a nearby pool. Three other individuals stayed near a naturally unfrozen part of the lake surface where diffusion of oxygen into the water from the air was possible. At these two sites, the DO values were highest during the DO-deficient period. This shows the importance of these types of places for fish survival at similar localities. Where catfish are invasive, such areas of high aggregation could be targeted by population control measures. Considering the potential invasiveness of European catfish in its introduced range, there is a need to accent DO deficits as a possible factor which may induce migration and colonisation of new areas.
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